## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/41440

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  IPC(7) : C07K 1/00  US CL : 530/350  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC  B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  U.S.: 530/350					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched UNIPROT; SWISSPROT; EST; ISSUED PATENTS					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)					
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages			Relevant to claim No.	
х	CARNINCI, P. ET AL. Medline Database, Direct	Submission	Accession No. Q9DJ7, 01	1, 5-6	
х	June 2001, see entire alignment. STRAUSBERB, R.L. ET AL. Medline Database, D Q8IYV9, 101 March 2003, see the entire alignment.		ssion, Accession No.	1, 5-6	
	•				
- Posting	a decomparts are listed in the continuation of Box C	$\overline{\Box}$	See patent family annex.		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.  Special categories of cited documents:  "T" later document published after the international filling date or priority					
"A" document	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be		date and not in conflict with the applic principle or theory underlying the inve	ation but cited to understand the	
	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone	claimed invention cannot be red to involve an inventive step	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"ү"			
"O" documem	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		being obvious to a person skilled in the	e art	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		*&*			
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report  1:2 AUG 2005			
29 July 2005 (29.07.2005) Name and mailing address of the ISA/US			d officer	auto A	
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450			Hope A. Robinson		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230			e No. 571-272-1600		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/41440

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:  1. Claims Nos.:	Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)			
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  Please See Continuation Sheet  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried our, specifically:  3.	1.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:			
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  Please See Continuation Sheet  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such			
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1.	3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).			
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)			
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1.				
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.			
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report			
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7  Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.					
	4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7			
	Remark on P				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (January 2004)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US04/41440

BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to drawn to an isolated polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 8, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid.

Group III, claim(s) 9-11, drawn to an antibody.

Group IV, claim(s) 12, drawn to a method of contraception using an antibody.

Group V, claim(s) 13-19, drawn to a method of inhibiting Band 5 protein activity.

Group VI, claim(s) 20-22, drawn to a composition comprising an antibody.

Group VII, claim(s) 23, drawn to a composition comprising a nucleic acid.

Group VIII, claim(s) 24-29, drawn to a method of inhibiting Band 5 protein synthesis.

Group IX, claim(s) 30-41, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that regulates Band 5.

Group X, claim(s) 42, drawn to a method of diagnosing.

Group XI, claim(s) 43, drawn to a method of contraception.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Because under PCT Rule 13.1 applicant is entitled to the first product, method of making and using same and the claimed invention is directed to three different products, protein, antibody and DNA. In addition, the methods as claimed are also directed to different products none of which uses or makes the first product. The DNA and protein share a technical feature, however, no special technical feature is present. Thus, the claimed invention lacks the same or corresponding technical feature.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (January 2004)